

To Whom It May Concern:

REJECT petition 04-160 from the NAB. What right do they have to protest something that I am willing to pay for? Are they worried that I am not going to listen to their advertisers to listen to their traffic and weather? It is enough that we must listen to useless babbeling to hear what is not up to date. The service XM provides is indispensible when traveling as you are not distracted when trying to find this information by tuning your radio in a different city. You don't have to wait a long period of time to hear the information over again. is the NAB so afraid of a superior service. Why must we settle for the same old, old thing. I listen to terrestrial radio at times for local and current events. in our area we have basically one vendor for this Clear Channel Broadcasting. Sometimes your are not even sure what station you have due to the advertising content.

By even considering this petition you shouyld just start one radio station up for the whole nation. By stifling this improvement you are in conflict with the sated goals of the FCC . This reminds me of a book titled "Atlas Shrugged" by Ayn Rand. Why would you consider to force us to suffer for the NAB's inability to offer an improvement and settle for third best service.

Please REJECT petition 04-160 from the NAB.

Sincerely,

Scott E. Noll